

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,099	02/05/2004	James L. Lambert	9022-43	3281	
	7590 10/19/2007 L SIBLEY & SAJOVEC	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 37428			ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T		
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				<u>m</u> P
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assists Commissions		10/773,099	LAMBERT ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael Rozanski	3768	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the prov	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.	rosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-50</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage	
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/05,1/18/05,8/23/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/773,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-26 in the reply filed on 9/7/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group I and II share special technical features that would not cause an undue hardship on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the process can be practiced with a materially different product, such as being able to generate a spatial representation of carotenoid levels without use of, specifically, a carotenoid mapping module. Such a module is different from simply generating a spatial representation in that it can, for example, be configured to combine Raman spectrum with OCT data as described in Applicant's specification.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because figures 1 and 2 are hand-written.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

Application/Control Number: 10/773,099

Art Unit: 3768

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 19, 20, and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities: The terms "about" and "substantially" render the claims indefinite. The terms should be removed or the claims should be amended to clarify the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClane et al (US 7,039,452) in view of Bernstein et al (US 5,873,831).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,099

Art Unit: 3768

McClane et al disclose an apparatus 10 for assessing an individual's risk of developing age-related macular degeneration (AMD). The apparatus measures and images carotenoids using non-invasive, *in vivo*, resonant Raman spectroscopy, which uses a blue or infrared excitation light (col. 5, lines 24-38). Lutein and zeaxanthin are carotenoids that exhibit Raman scattering and are characterized with a carbon backbone with alternating double carbon-carbon and single carbon-carbon bonds (col. 4, lines 24-45). Once the absorption and/or reflectance spectrum is received from the multiple layers of the retina, an image representing the spatial distribution and concentration level of one or more carotenoids is produced. The image can be displayed as grayscale or pseudo color 'en face' maps and/or as topographic representionations that covers a region about 2-5 mm wide and is at least 1000 data pixels (col. 6, lines 25-45; figures 4-8).

McClane et al do not use OCT. In the same field of endeavor, Bernstein et al teach of measuring the levels of macular carotenoids and other retinal materials. The light generated toward the subject eye 34 may incorporate a small beam scanned across the macular area in a manner analogous to the method used in OCT, which is familiar to those of skill in the art (col. 5, lines 25-37). Thus, it is taught that OCT is known in the art of determining the levels of macular pigments in the tissue of live subjects. OCT is known to use superluminescent diodes to generate light with broad bandwidths. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have performed OCT on the retina and generate a spatial map therefrom in combination with Raman spectrum data in order to help assess AMD.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,099

Art Unit: 3768

•

Page 5

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rozanski whose telephone number is 571-272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N/12 MR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER PAGE